EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:

Docket #03md1570

IN RE TERRORIST ATTACKS ON

SEPTEMBER 11, 2001

: September 14, 2021

New York, New York

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE SARAH NETBURN, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiffs KREINDLER & KREINDLER

Abramo, Abramowitz, BY: STEVEN R. POUNIAN, ESQ.

Richard Abbate: 750 Third Avenue

New York, New York 10017

For Plaintiff Acker: ANDERSON KILL P.C.

BY: JERRY GOLDMAN, ESQ.

BRUCE STRONG, ESQ.

1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10020

For Plaintiff Betterly: MOTLEY RICE LLC

BY: ROBERT HAEFELE, ESQ.

JODI WESTBROOK FLOWERS, ESQ.

JADE HAILESELASSIE, ESQ.

C. ROSS HEYL, ESQ.

28 Bridgeside Boulevard

P.O. Box 1792

Mount Pleasant, South Carolina 29464

Transcription Service: Carole Ludwig, Transcription Services

155 East Fourth Street, #3C New York, New York 10009

Phone: (212) 420-0771

Email: Transcription420@aol.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;

Transcript produced by transcription service.

1 | 13

With regard to the scheduling issues that are presently before the Court, the Government believes that it would be in the interests of justice to extend the expert discovery deadline at least to allow the Government to complete declassification reviews of the closing EC and the materials previously processed by the FBI in this case.

In accordance with the executive order, the Government intends to complete the declassification review of these documents within 60 days or by November 2. It's our understanding that some or all of the parties may rely in their expert disclosures on records that were previously produced in part by the FBI and the FBI anticipates releasing substantial additional information in those records as a result of the review. We, therefore, believe it would be appropriate and in the interest of justice for all parties to have the benefit of that additional information in preparing their expert disclosures.

With regard to the question whether the Court should extent the expert discovery deadline pending the completion of the review of the other categories of record covered by the executive order, the Government certainly would not oppose such an extension, particularly given that the purpose of the Government's review is to permit disclosure of additional information. I would add that the